

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT SEATTLE

9 SITTING AT VIC'S LLC et al.,  
10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.

12 LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY  
13 et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. C22-527-RSL

ORDER GRANTING  
EXTENSION OF TIME

14 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' "Joint Stipulation Extending Time for  
15 Defendants to Answer or Otherwise Respond to Complaint" (Dkt. # 7). Having reviewed the  
16 motion and the remainder of the record, the Court finds good cause to extend the time for  
17 defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint. However, the parties are reminded  
18 that stipulated motions are to be presented to the Court with a proposed order. See Local Rules  
19 W.D. Wash. LCR 1(c)(7), LCR 10(e)(7).

20 For all of the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties' Joint  
21 Stipulation Extending Time for Defendants to Answer or Otherwise Respond to Complaint (Dkt.  
22 # 7) is GRANTED. Defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to the complaint by the latest  
23 of the following dates:

- 24 1. 30 days after plaintiffs file their anticipated amended complaint;  
25 2. If the Court denies plaintiffs' anticipated motion to stay further proceedings in this  
26 action pending a ruling by the Washington Supreme Court in Hill & Stout, PLLC  
27 v. Mutual of Enumclaw Insurance Co., No. 100211-4 (direct review granted Jan.  
28 5, 2022), 30 days after the Court denies plaintiffs' anticipated motion to stay; or

*Robert S. Lasnik*  
Robert S. Lasnik  
United States District Judge